

**Debating the Permissibility of Printable Guns**  
**Rachel Robison-Greene**

What are the moral considerations regarding the dissemination of potentially dangerous information online? Is Cody Wilson morally blameworthy?

The article highlights a number of ethical, political, and legal issues concerning the possibility of printable firearms and the publication of that knowledge. What do you think is the most important aspect to consider when evaluating the case? Why? Is this primarily a First Amendment case or a Second Amendment case?

Can you think of ways the government might argue that the posting of plans for a 3D printable pistol online *is* “inciting or promoting lawless action and is likely to incite or promote such action”?

Who has the more morally complicated position, the person who uploads the plans or the person who downloads the plans and prints the gun? Why?